

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/537,372	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GROVEMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Siegfried E. Chencinski	<b>Art Unit</b> 3628	

**All Participants:**

(1) Siegfried E. Chencinski.

(2) David Leason, Esq.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Pr. Ex. Frantzy Poinvil.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 May 2006
**Time:** 10:30 - 10:42am
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NONE

**Claims discussed:**

1, 6, 11, 29, 30, 31, 33

**Prior art documents discussed:**

NONE

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Proposed Examiner's amendments to claims 6 and 11, confirmation of prior amendments to claims 1 and 33, and confirmation of Applicant's prior cancellation of claims 29-31. See attached examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)